

Policy and Institutional Arrangement for M&E in the Philippines: The case of ODA Projects

Presented by Romulo Emmanuel Miral, Jr., PhD

Deputy Secretary General Congressional Policy and Budget Research Department House of Representatives, Philippines

外務省 Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Japan











Development Plan Policies/Laws

INTERMEDIATE (Midterm)

IMPACT (Long Term)

Results or Outcomes: Intended and Unintended



The Administrative Code of 1987

The National Economic and Development Authority

x x x responsible for formulating fully integrated economic and development policies, plans and programs, including the formulation of annual and medium-term public investment programs x x x and the monitoring and evaluation of plan implementation.

The Department of Budget and Management

x x x assist the President in the preparation, execution and control of the National Budget x x x determine accounting and other items of information, financial or otherwise, needed to **monitor budget** performance and to assess effectiveness of agencies operations.

All departments, bureaus, offices or agencies of the government x x x shall submit a semi-annual report of their accomplishments, both work and financial results for the purpose of monitoring the efficiency and effectiveness with which budgeted funds are being utilized.





Rules of the House of Representatives Rule IX. The committees

Section 26. Duties and Functions. - Committees shall study, deliberate on and act upon all measures referred to them inclusive of bills, resolutions and petitions, and shall recommend for approval or adoption by the House that, in their judgment, advance the interests and promote the welfare of the people.

ХХХ

Committees shall have **oversight responsibilities** to determine whether or not **laws and programs** addressing subjects within their jurisdictions are being implemented and carried out in accordance with the intent of Congress and whether or not they should be **continued**, curtailed, or eliminated.

ХХХ





The GPH M&E Milestones

EO 297 EO	376	RA 8182	RA 8182	
Administrative Code of 1987 NEDA/DBM to monitor & evaluate implementation of PDP/Budget; 1987 1988	Established the Regional Project Monitoring and Evaluation System (RPMES) 1989 1991	(as amended by Mandated NED conduct an ann review of statu ODA projects 1996	A to Organiza nual Performa	tional ance Ind ork (OPIF
MO 175 Creation of Pro Monitoring Committee in L	ject Devolve pr monitoring	roject J to	NB Resolution No. 3 provides for reporting of project outcomes and impacts by ICC and Implementing Agencies	Re in AC In to Pe

Focus on efficient implementation





Focus on results/outcomes



Contents of the NEP Framework



Scope / Coverage		All programs a by the governr source
Creation of an Inter-Agency Evaluation Task Force and its Secretariat		Jource
		Evaluation crit Evaluation con
Guiding Principles/		Standards of e
Evaluation Standards	>	Evaluation pla
		Undertaking ev



and projects implemented ment, regardless of funding

- iteria
- ompetencies
- ethics
- ans in accordance with best practices
- evaluations with due regard to impartiality
- Reporting, dissemination, and use of evaluations



Oversight and Monitoring of ODA Projects Republic Act 8182. ODA Act of 1996

- COCODA: determine that the proceeds of all ODA loans or loans and grants are equitably distributed and utilized as intended
- > NEDA: conduct annual review of all ODA projects, identify cause of delays, reasons for bottlenecks, cost overruns, and continued viability, and report to Congress not later than June 30 of each year
- All implementing and oversight agencies: submit to NEDA all information and reports as may be required by it to assess the performance of ODA projects
- President: submit to Congress within 30 days after the opening of every regular session a report on the amount of ODA loans and grants incurred
- COA: conduct an audit on each ongoing and completed project and report to Congress not later than June 30 of each year





RPMES Overall System Framework



NEDA, 2023. Regional Project Monitoring and Evaluation System : Operational Guidelines





Importance of a Legislated National Evaluation Policy

- >**Create impetus** for the conduct and use of evaluation in all branches and levels of government
- **Create a common understanding** of the goals pursued through evaluation
- **Clarify mandates and responsibilities** of the goals pursued through evaluation >
- **Provide high-level guidance** to institutions by outlining best practices, goals and methods





Legislating an National Integrated Results-Based Monitoring and Evaluation Policy and System

	House of Representatives	
18 th Congress (2019-2022)	HB 3293 (Vargas) HB 8025 (Villafuerte) HB 10181 (Garin)	SE SE SE Ar
19 th Congress (2022-2025)	HB 4000 (Villafuerte, R., Villafuerte, M. Horibata, and Enciso) HB 5181 (Villafuerte, R)	SE SE SE SE



Senate

- B 788 (Hontiveros) B 1885 (Marcos) B 2479 (Hontiveros, Marcos, ngara, Revilla
- B 1219 (Hontiveros) B 1342 (Legarda)
- B 1392 (Revilla)
- B 1437 (Estrada)
- B 1714 (Marcos)



Thank you very much!

Maraming Salamat po!

