## 第三節 治外法權撤廢問題

### (一) 支那側要望

# || 近日第|| 十一月二十五日極東問題總委員會第六囘會議ヲ開ク|

陳埔原 述原全權 望三ヶ條要 先ツ「ヒユーズ」氏カ支那提案第五項ニ關シ支那ノ行動ノ自由ニ對スル制限問題ヲ附議スヘキヲ宜スルャ支那全權王寵惠氏 渡期ニ於テ漸次法權ヲ囘復スル 賛意ヲ表シタル 設クルヲ適當ト 云フコトヲ得ス先ツ以テ現狀ニ付十分ノ考究ヲ爲スノ必要アルニ付本會議ニ參列セル各國代表者ヲ以テ一ノ特別委員會ヲ 行政カ改善セラレタルノ事實ハ之ヲ承知シ居リ且ツ支那側ノ希望ニ對シラハ同情ヲ有スルモ支那ノ現狀ハ未タ滿足ナリト 今日ニ於テハ主義ノ問題ニ非スシテ寧ロ事質問題タリコノ見地ヨリ支那現下ノ情態如何カ考究ヲ要スル常面ノ問題ナリ卽 改善援助及治外法權撤廢ノ豫約ハ諸條約ニ明白ナル規定アリ依ツテ治外法權撤廢ノ主義ハ已ニ明白ニ定マレリ故ニ本件ハ 煩シタキコト(二)關係各國代表者ハ一定ノ時期ニ治外法權ヲ撤廢ス可キコトヲ約スルコト(三)列國ハ右撤廢ニ至ル迄ノ過 希望トシテ(一)卽時ニ治外法權ノ撤廢ヲ要求スルモノニ非スト雖現在支那法制ノ改善セラレタル事態ニ鑑ミ外國ノ考慮ヲ 主義上何等異議ナキ所ナルモ 決議ヲ爲スコ 支那ニ於ケル治外法權問題ヲ提起シ同國ニ於ケル治外法權ノ沿革及現時ニ於ケル支那法典ノ完成裁判所ノ構成司法行 實況等ニ付縷々陳述シ特ニ英支條約米支條約及露支條約ニ於ケル領事裁判撤囘ニ .ル司法行政ノ實況ハ如何又是等ノ改善セラレタル司法行政ノ行ハルル範圍如何ヲ考究セサル可ラス余ハ支那 カ埴原全權ハ 然ルヘク之カ爲メ分科會ヲ設置シ決議文ノ立案ヲ爲サシムヘシ』ト提言シタルニ佛英伊ノ三國ハ直ニ之ニ スヘキモ差當り本會議トシテハ英米日等ノ間ニ締結サレタ 特ニ一言シタキハ日本ハ支那ノ希望ニ對シテハ他ノ何 『日本ノ治外法權撤廢ニ關スル方針ハ英米兩國ト ノ方法ニ付支那ト協力考究スルコト ノ三條ヲ提議シタリ右ニ對シ「ヒユ ル條約二依り約束セ 同シ 關スル豫約ヲ指摘シタル上支那政府ノ ク日支條約ニ朋定セラレタル通ニシテ Æ ルト同様ノ 一層多ク同情ス可キ ーズ」氏ハ 趣旨ヲ以テーノ 『法制ノ

致ヲ以テ分科會設置ニ關スル「ヒユーズ」氏ノ提議ヲ可決 ク質施セラレンコトヲ切望シ『ヒユーズ』氏ノ提言ニ全然同意スル』旨ヲ述 有ス印チ H 本ハ治外法權撤廢ニ闘シ嘗ラ支那ト同様ノ困難ヲ經驗シタルコト 也 y へ他國全權亦何レ アルヲ以テ支那ノ正當ナル希望カ一日 モ贊成シタ n 結果茲二滿場 平早

## (二)「ロツザ」氏決議案ニ關スル討議

案が が 以氏 提 提

前記

ヒコ

ズ」氏ノ

提議二

依リ

一月二十八日午前治外法權分科會第一

回會議ヲ開

+

14

カ各國委員次ノ

如

佛 白 伊 爽 王龍惠八 「ヴアスコン 「カルネビー 「ウーテルス」氏 「アルベルチニ」氏 「サロー」氏 「ピアース」氏 ク氏 セロス」氏

### 先ツ委員長「ロッデ」氏ハ豫メ起草セル 左ノ 決議案ヲ提出 シテ審議ヲポメタ

**埴原全權** 

of Armament, to wit, the The representatives of the and Portugal; United States of America, Belgium, the British Empire, Power, hereinafter named, participating in the Conference France, Italy, on the Limitation

ments for their administration, and other considerations warrant" them in so doing; system and to bring it into accord with that of Western nations, and have declared that they are also "pre-Treaty between Japan and China, dated October 8, 1903, these several Powers have agreed to give every assistance towards the attainment by the Chinese Government of its expressed desire to reform its judicial Having taken note of the fact that in the Treaty between the Great Britain and China, dated September to relinquish extraterritorial rights when satisfied that the state of the Chinese laws, the arrangein the between the United States of America and China, dated October 8,

to Delegation gave expression on November 16, 1921, to the effect that "immediately, or as soon as circumstances permit, existing limitations upon China's political, jurisdictional and administrative freedom of action are Being sympathetically disposed towards furthering in this regard the aspiration to which the Chinese

judicial system and the methods of judicial administration of China, which the Conference is not in a position depend upon the ascertainment and appreciation of complicated states of fact in regard to the laws and the determine; Considering that any determination in regard to such action as might be appropriate to this end must

Have resolved:

diction in China, and into the laws and the judicial system and the methods of judicial administration of China, That the Government of the Powers above named shall establish a Commission of jurists Governments shall appoint one member) to inquire into the present practice of extraterritorial juris-(to which

existing conditions of the administration of justice in China, and to assist and further the efforts of the Chinese Government to effect such legislation and judicial reforms as would warrant the several powers in relinquishregard to these matters, and their recommendations as to such means as they may find suitable to improve the with a view to reporting to the Governments of the several Powers above named their findings of either progressively or otherwise, their respective rights of extraterritoriality;

after its appointment; adjournment of the Conference with detailed arrangements to be hereafter agreed upon by the Governments of Powers above named, and shall be instructed to submit its report and recommendations within one year Commission of jurists herein contemplated shall be constituted within one month after the

the granting by China of any sepcial concession, favor, benefit or immunity, whether political or make its acceptance of all or any portion of such recommendations either directly or indirectly dependent on of the recommendations of the Commission herein contemplated, but that in no case shall any of the said Powers That each of the Powers above named shall be deemed free to accept or to reject all or any portion

#### 右譯文

設備及其ノ他ノ要件ニシテ當該國カ滿足スルトキハ治外法權ヲ撤去スルニ躊躇セサル」ヘキコトヲ聲明シタルノ事實ヲ 八日ノ條約ニ於ラ當該國ハ支那國政府カ其ノ司法制度ヲ改正シテ之ヲ西洋諸國ノ同制度ニ適合セシムルコトニ付表示シ 國及葡萄牙國ノ各代表者ハ英支間千九百二年九月五日ノ條約米支間千九百三年十月八日ノ條約竝日支間千九百三年十月 華盛頓ニ於ケル本會議ニ參加シタル諸國郎チ亞米利加合衆國、白耳義國、英帝國、佛蘭西國、伊太利國、日本國、 ル希望ヲ同國政府ニ於テ達成スルコトニ對シ一切ノ援助ヲ與フヘキコトヲ約定シ且ツ「支那國法律ノ狀態其ノ施行ノ 和蘭

### 了承シタルニ因リ

スルニ因リ 現存ノ制限ハ即時ニ及ハ事情ノ許ス限り速ニ 關シテ千九百二十一年十一月十六日支那國委員ノ表示シタル 撤廢セラルヘキモ **ノナリ**」 「支那國ノ政治上、 ۲ ノ趣旨ノ願望ヲ成就セ 司法上及行政上ノ自由行動ニ シ 4 ルニ 付 同 情ヲ有 對ス

實狀ノ確認及理解ヲ前提トスヘク右實狀ハ本會議ニ於テ之ヲ決定スル 右目的ニ適應スヘキ措置ニ 闘シ 如何ナル決定ヲ爲スニ就テモ支那國ノ <u>=</u>. 法 律、 由ナキコトヲ考慮 司 法制度及司法運用手續 Ξ, 關ス 複雑ナル

### **茲ニ左ノ如ク決議セリ**

現狀ヲ改善スル爲及治外法權ニ關スル各自ノ權利ヲ漸次ニ又ハ其ノ他ノ方法ニ依リ撤去スルコト 前記各國政府ハ法律専門家委員會(各一名ノ委員ヲ任命ス)ヲ組織シ支那國ニ於ケル治外法權制度ノ實施ノ現狀、 各國政府ニ報告セシムルコト へキ立法上司法上ノ改正ヲ實行セムトスル支那國政府ノ努力ヲ援助促進スル爲適當ト 法律司法制度及司法運用手續ヲ調査セシメ依テ以テ右事項ニ關スル委員會ノ事實調査書竝支那國ニ於ケル司法 思惟スル手段ニ關スル ニ付各國ヲ首肯セシ 勘告ヲ 運用 支那國 4

前記法律専門家委員會ハ今後前記各國政府間ニ協定セラルヘキ細目 該委員會ハ其ノ任命後一箇年内ニ其ノ報告及勸告ヲ提出 スヘキコト 取極ニ基キ會議終了 ヲ命セラル ル = 後 箇月內 = 組 織 セ ラ jν ŋ 且

前記各國ハ前記委員會ノ勸告ノ全部又ハ一部ヲ受諸シ又ハ拒絕スルノ自由ヲ有スヘシ伹シ ハ発除ヲ直接間接ニ許與セシムルノ條件トナスヲ得サルコト 右勸告ノ全部又ハ一部ノ受諾ヲ以テ支那國ヨリ政治上タル ト經濟上タ ルト ヲ 問 ハ ス何等カ 如何 ノ特殊利權、 ナル 場合ニ 恩典、 テモ右各國 利 益又

|決議案ハ大體ニ於ラ各國委員ノ同意ヲ得タルカ左ノ四點ニ付疑義ヲ生セリ(一)法律家ヨ 委員ヲ法律家ニ限ル コト ノ不必要且ッ不得策ナリ į. ノ議論出テ審議ノ結果『法律家』ナル語ヲ除 リ成 ル委員會ニ 關 シテ ハ英蘭兩

國委員ヨリ共ノ短少ニ失スルヲ以テ第一囘ノ會合後一箇年ト改ムへキ旨ノ提議アリ全會異議ナク右ニ決定セ 論議ノ結果支那モ亦同意員會設立,趣旨ヲ贊シ之ニ委員ヲ任命スルノ意アル旨ヲ宣言セル別ノ決議ヲ作製スル リ(三)本會議不參加國ニシテ支那ニ於ケル治外法權ヲ享有スル諸國ノ本會議ニ對スル關係ニ付テハ是等諸國ノ希 委員會ト為スコ デハ本決議ニ加入スルノ選擇權ヲ認ムル旨ノ獨立決議ヲ設クルコトトナレリ(四)右委員會ノ報告提出期間ニ ナ レリ(二)右委員會ノ組織ニ關スル支那 ノ立場ニ關シ 本決議中支那ヲモ含マシムヘキ 3 型アルニ トナ 八開 V

然レトモ前記決議案ノ決定ニ關シテハ尚研究ヲ要スル然アルヲ以テ更ニ第二囘會議ヲ開クコトトナリ 第八回總委員會ニ於テ「ロッチ」委員長ヨリ右ノ次第ヲ報告シタル上同日午後第二囘分科會ヲ開會セリ テー旦 休 會 シ [11] П

科第二 自 同 分

簡月上 ツザ」委員長ヨリ同日午前ノ分科會ニ於ケル修正ノ諸点ヲ報告ア 改ムルコ トヲ提議シ全會一致之ヲ可決セ リタルニ支那委員ハ調査委員會開會期ヲ **會議終了後三** 

ヲ 次イラ本倉議ニ對スル非參加國ノ選擇權ニ關シテハ種く論議 米國政府ニ寄託ス N tr |-ニ依リラ 本決議加入ヲ聽許 نا٠ ラル ラ加 ヘキ 7 = ŀ jν = 結果本會議終了後三箇月内ニ書面ニ依ル加 決定シ追加決議ノ 一ト ・シテ採用 ス n :3 ŀ 入通告 ŀ ナ

繁 組 加 決 議

λî 代表者ヲ任命スヘク尤モ該委員會ノ勸告ノ全部又ハ一部ヲ 一聲明ノ次第ヲ追加決議ノニトシテ採用ス 調查委員會二對 ス N 支那 ノ立場ニ關 シ テハ N 二決定七 支那委員コ IJ ・支那ハ右 受諾又 グ担 111 查委員會設置ニ關スル決議ヲ了 総ス IV 1 自 由 ヺ 有 ス N 趣行 聲明 承シ 該委員會二  $\gamma$ ŋ 1 N ヲ 以

### (三) 同上決定

以上ノ結果二回 テ 可決也 7 V ノ分科會ニ於テ採決セル修正決議案ハ翌十一月二十九日ノ タリ該決議案 ハ越エラ十二月十日第四回總會議ニ於ラ 各國全權 第九回總委員會ニ於テ報告アリタ ノ正式承認ヲ得タルカ其ノ 全文ヲ揚クレ n 力全會

# RESOLUTION REGARDING THE EXTRATERRITORIALITY IN CHINA

the Far Eastern questions in the Conference on the limitation of armament, to wit the United States of America, Belgium, the British Empire, France, Italy, Japan, Netherlands, and Portugal. The representatives of the Powers, hereinafter named, participating in the discussion of the Pacific and

the extraterritorial rights when satisfied that the state of the Chinese laws, arrangements for their administrabring it to accord with that of the Western nations, and have declared that they are also "prepared to relinquish wards the attainment by the Chinese Government of its expressed desire to reform its judicial system and to 1902, in the treaty between the United States of America and China, dated October 8, 1903 and in the treaty beand other considerations warrant" them in so doing Japan and China, dated October 8, 1903, these several powers have agreed to give every assistance to-Having taken note of the fact that in the treaty between Great Britain and China, dated September

gation gave expression on November 16, 1921, to the effect that "immediately as soon as circumstances will permit the existing limitations upon China's political, jurisdictional and administrative freedom of action are to Being sympathetically disposed towards furthering in this regard aspiration to which the Chinese Dele-

depend upon the ascertainment and appreciation of the complicated states of fact in regard to the laws and Considering that any determination in regard to such action as might be appropriate to this end must

the judicial system and the methods of judicial administration of China which this Conference is not in posi-

gressively or otherwise, their respective rights of extraterritoriality; view to reporting to the Governments of the several Powers above named their findings of fact in regard to these diction in China and into the laws and judicial system and methods of judicial administration of China with a to effect such legislation and judicial reforms as would warrant the several Power in relinquishing, either proditions of administration of justice in China and to assist and further the efforts of the Chinese Government matters and their recommendations as to such means as they may find suitable to improve the existing coneach of such Government shall appoint one member) to inquire into the present practice of extraterritorial juris-Have resolved that the Governments of the Power above named shall establish a commission (to which

above named, and shall be instructed to submit its report and recommendations within one year after the of the Conference with detailed arrangements to be hereafter agreed upon by the Governments of the Powers first meeting of the Commission; That the Commission herein contemplated shall be constituted within three months after the adjournment

bу its acceptance of all or any portion of such recommendations, either directly or indirectly, dependent on granting China of any special concession, favor, benefit or immunity, whether political or economic recommendations of the Commission herein contemplated but that in no case shall any of said Powers make That each of the Powers above named shall be deemed free to accept or to reject all or any portion of

## ADDITIONAL RESOLUTION I

after the adjournment of the Conference, written notice of accession with the Government of the United States resolution affecting extraterritoriality and administration of justice in China, by depositing within three months communication by That the non-signatory Powers having by the treaty extraterritorial rights in it to each of the signatory Powers. China may accede

## ADDITIONAL RESOLUTION II.

accomplishment of its tasks. prepared to cooperate in the work of this Commission and to afford to it every possible facility for successful tives who shall have right to sit as members the sympathetic disposition of the Powers hereinafter named, in regard to the aspiration of the Chinese Govern-That China having taken note of the resolutions affecting the establishment of a Commission to investisecure the abolition to accept or to reject any or all of the recommendations of the Commission. upon extraterritoriality and administration of justice in China expresses its satisfaction of extraterritoriality in China and declares its intention to appoint representaof the said Commission, it being understood that China shall be

(右譯文)

## 支那ニ於ケル治外法權ニ關スル決議

國及葡萄牙國ノ各代表者ハ英支間千九百二年九月五日ノ條約、 華盛頓ニ於ケル本會議ニ參加シタル諸國卽チ亞米利加合衆國、 米支間千九百三年十月八日ノ條約並日支間千九百三年十 白耳義國、 英帝國、 佛蘭西國、伊太利國、

設備及其ノ他ノ要件ニシテ當該國カ滿足スルトキハ其ノ治外法權ヲ撤去スルニ躊躇セサルヘキコトヲ聲明シタ ヲ了承シタルニ因リ シタル希望ヲ同國政府ニ於ヲ達成スルコトニ對シ一切ノ援助ヲ與フヘキコトヲ約定シ且「支那國法律ノ狀態其ノ施行ノ 日ノ條約ニ於ラ當該國ハ支那國政府カ其ノ司法制度ヲ改正シテ之ヲ泰西諸國ノ同制度ニ適合セシムルコトニ付表示 ノ事實

右ニ關シテ千九百二十一年十一月十六日「支那國委員ノ表示シ スルニ因リ . 現存ノ制限ハ卽時ニ叉ハ事情ノ許ス限り .速ニ撤廢セラルヘキモノナリ」トノ趣旨ノ願望ヲ成就セ Þ N 支那國ノ政治上、 司法上及行政 一上ノ自 シ 4 ルニ付同情ヲ有 由行動ニ

及理解ヲ前提トスヘク右實狀ハ本會議ニ於テ之ヲ決定スルニ由ナキコトヲ考慮シ 右目的ニ適應スヘキ措置ニ關ス ル 如何ナル決定モ支那國ノ 法 律 司 法制度及司法運用手續ニ關スル複雑ナ N 實狀ノ

玆ニ右ノ通り決議セリ

改善スル爲治外法權ニ關スル各自ノ權利ヲ漸次ニ又ハ其ノ他ノ方法ニ依リ撤去スルコトニ付各國ヲ首肯セシムヘキ立法 法律司法制度及司法運用手續ヲ調査セシメ依テ右事項ニ關スル委員會ノ事實調査書茲支那國ニ於ケル司法運用 前記各國政府ハ委員會(各國政府ハ各一名リ委員ヲ任命ス)ヲ組織シ支那國ニ於ケル治外法權制度ノ實施ノ現狀支那國ノ 法上ノ改正ヲ實行セムトスル支那國政府ノ努力ヲ援助促進スル爲濟當ト思惟スル手段ニ 闘スル 動作ヲ 前記各國政府

第一囘會議後一箇年内ニ其ノ報告及勸告ヲ提出スヘキコトヲ命セ 記委員會ハ今後前記各國政府間ニ協定セラルヘキ細目取 極二基キ會議終了後三箇月內二組織セラ ラルルコ N ヘ ク 且ッ該委員會

勸告ノ全部又ハ一部ノ受諾ヲ以テ支那國ヨリ政治上タルト經濟上タルトヲ問ハス何等カノ 記各國ハ前記委員會ノ勸告ノ全部又ハ一部ヲ受諾シ又ハ拒絕スルノ自由ヲ冇スヘシ但シ 如何ナル場合ニ於ラモ右各國 特殊利權、 恩典、 利益又ハ

免除ヲ直接間接ニ許與セシムルノ條件トナスヲ得サルコト

### 追加決議ノー

國ニ通知セラルヘシ 國政府ニ寄託シテ支那國ニ於ケル治外法權及司法運用ニ關スル決議ニ加入スルコトヲ得右通告ハ合衆國政府ヨリ各署名 非署名國ニシテ條約ニ依リ支那國ニ於テ治外法權ヲ有スルモノハ本會議終了後三箇月內ニ書面ニ依ル 加入ノ通告ヲ合衆

### 追加決議ノニ

會ノ委員トシテ出席スルノ權利ヲ有スル一名ノ代表者ヲ任命スルノ意アルコトヲ聲明ス尢モ支那國ハ該委員會ノ勸告ノ 於ケル治外法權ノ廢止ヲ期セムトスル同國政府ノ願望ニ關スル前記各國ノ同情アル意嚮ニ滿足ノ意ヲ表シ且ツ前記委員 全部又ハ一部ヲ受諾シ又ハ拒絕スルノ自由ヲ有スルモノト 支那國ハ同國ニ於ケル治外法權及司法運用ノ調査及報告ニ當ル委員會ノ設置ニ關スル決議ヲ了承シタル ムカ爲有ラユル便宜ヲ之ニ供與セムトス ス支那國ハ又該委員會ノ事業ニ協力シ其ノ任務ヲ滿足ニ完了 <u>-</u> 因リ

## 第四節 外國郵便局撤去問題

# (一) 外國郵便局卽時撤廢ニ關スル支那側要望

員六十十 會同五日 會總委第二 テ外國郵便局撤廢問題ニ關スル協議ニ入レリ 十一月二十五日午前極東總委員會第六囘會議ニ於テ治外法權ニ關スル論議アリタル後支那提案第五項ノ具體問題ノ ŀ シ

陳支 述那委員 同日ノ會議ニ於テ劈頭支那全權施肇基氏ハ支那ニ於ケル領土的及行政的保全ニ對スル制限事例 ŀ シ

- (一) 外國郵便局
- (二) 有線電信及無線電信
- (三) 外國駐屯軍
- (四) 外國鐵道守備隊
- (五) 外國警察官

國ハ僅カニー、英國ハ十二、佛國ハ十三ノ郵便局ヲ有スルニ過キサルコトヲ指摘シ縷々陳述スル所アリタルカ「ヒユーズ」 裕ヲ與ヘラルルヲ得ハ好都合ナル旨ヲ提議シテ散會セリ 議長ハ支那全權ノ陳述尙長時間ヲ要スヘキヤニ認メラルル處成ルヘク右陳述要領ヲ全權ニ配布シ翌朝ノ會議迄ニ考慮ノ餘 レル旨ヲモ述ヘタル上進ンヲ現在支那ニ於ケル外國郵便局ノ數ヲ擧示シ特ニ日本ノ數、百二十四ノ多キニ達スルニ反シ米 郵便局ヲ設置シ得サル譯合ナル旨ヲ說キ而シテ支那ノ郵政ハ輓近顯著ナル發達ヲ遂ケ現ニ世界中最廉價ナル取扱ヲ爲シ居 シ、續イテ支那郵政ノ沿革ヲ詳述シ最近千九百十四年萬國郵便條約加盟ノ事實ニ言及シ右條約ニ依レハ外國ハ加盟國内ニ **ノ五項ヲ擧ケタル上先ッ外國郵便局ハ何等支那ト當事國トノ條約又ハ「コンセツション」ニ根據スルモノニ非サ** ルコトヲ論